

New Hampshire Volunteer Lake Assessment Program

2003 Biennial Report for Tom Pond Warner



NHDES
Water Division
Watershed Management Bureau
29 Hazen Drive
Concord, NH 03301



OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **TOM POND, WARNER**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the pond this season! Your monitoring group sampled **three** times this season and has done so for many years! As you know, with multiple sampling events each season, we will be able to more accurately detect changes in water quality. Keep up the good work!

We would like to encourage your monitoring group to participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring the lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic weeds. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from June through September. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers Kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a plan of action to control the nuisance infestation.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plants, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers web page at www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm.

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** The graphs in Figure 1 (Appendix A) show the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through the program.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment naturally found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The mean (average) summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 7.02 mg/m³.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **decreased** from June to July, and then **increased** from July to August. The chlorophyll-a concentration in June, July, and August was **greater than** the state mean.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2003 chlorophyll-a mean is **greater than** the state mean.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has **not significantly changed** (neither *increased* nor *decreased*) since monitoring began in **1987**. Specifically, the chlorophyll-a concentration has remained **relatively stable** and has been **greater than** the state mean. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

While algae are naturally present in all lakes/ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes/ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase with an increase in nonpoint sources of phosphorus loading from the watershed, or in-lake sources of phosphorus loading (such as phosphorus releases from the sediments). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate residents about how activities within the watershed can affect phosphorus loading and lake/pond quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** The graphs in Figure 2 (Appendix A) show historical and current year data for pond transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through the program.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. **The mean (average) summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.7 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency **increased** from June to July, and then **decreased** from July to August. The transparency in June, July, and August was **less than** the state mean.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2003 mean transparency is **less than** the state mean.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) show that the mean annual in-lake transparency has **not significantly changed** (neither *increased* nor *decreased*) since monitoring began in **1987**. Specifically, the in-lake transparency has remained **relatively stable** and has been **less than** the state mean. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes erosion of sediments into lakes/ponds and streams, thus decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amounts of phosphorus in the epilimnion (the upper layer) and the hypolimnion (the lower layer); the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has joined the program.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Too much phosphorus in a lake/pond can lead to increases in plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 11 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased** throughout the summer. The phosphorus concentration in June, July, and August was **less than** the state median.

The historical data show that the 2003 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **less than** the state median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **remained stable** from June to July, and then **increased** from July to August. The phosphorus concentration in June and July was **less than** the state median, and in August was **greater than** the state median.

The historical data show that the 2003 mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **less than** the state median.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data show that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and hypolimnion (lower layer) has **significantly decreased** since monitoring began. Specifically, the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion and hypolimnion has **decreased** (meaning **improved**) on average by **approximately 3 percent** in the epilimnion and **4 percent** in the hypolimnion per sampling season during the sampling period **1987 to 2003**. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print out.) We hope this trend continues!

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake or pond's watershed typically include septic systems, animal

waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historic phytoplankton species observed in the pond. The dominant phytoplankton species observed this year were ***Rhizosolenia (Diatom)*, *Synedra (Diatom)*, and *Chrysosphaerella (Golden-Brown)***.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

An overabundance of cyanobacteria (previously referred to as blue-green algae) indicates that there may be an excessive total phosphorus concentration in the pond, or that the ecology is out of balance. Some species of cyanobacteria can be toxic to livestock, pets, wildlife, and humans. (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria).

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 5.5 severely limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.5 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The mean pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **6.5**, which indicates that the surface waters in state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this season ranged from **6.36** in the hypolimnion to **6.55** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and the deposition of acid rain, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake/pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historic epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake/pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity or ANC describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input to the lake. The mean ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.7 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are "highly sensitive" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) continues to remain **greater than** the state mean of **6.7 mg/L**. Specifically, the pond is classified by DES as **highly sensitive** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation).

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historic conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current. The mean conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **62.1 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The conductivity has **increased** in the pond since monitoring began. In addition, the in-lake conductivity is **greater than** the state mean. The Inlet conductivity has remained high since sampling began in 1987. Typically, sources of increased conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include septic systems that fail and leak leachate into the groundwater (and eventually into the tributaries and the pond), agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and storm event sampling along the inlet(s) with elevated conductivity so that we can determine what may be causing the increases.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article”, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historic total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae’s ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentration was **elevated** in the **Inlet** this season. This station has had a history of **fluctuating but generally high** total phosphorus concentrations. The turbidity (Table 11) at the station is also frequently elevated. This is likely due to the wetland area that is upstream of the sampling location. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and storm event sampling along this inlet so that we can determine what may be causing the increases.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article”, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2003 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **high** at all depths sampled at the deep spot of the pond. Typically, shallow lakes and ponds that are not deep enough to stratify into more than one or two layers will have relatively high amounts of oxygen at all depths. This is due to continual lake mixing and diffusion of oxygen into the bottom waters induced by wind and wave action.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **greater than 100 percent** saturation at **all depths** on **July 25**. Layers of algae can raise the dissolved oxygen in the water column since oxygen is a by-product of photosynthesis. Wave action from wind can also dissolve atmospheric oxygen into the upper layers of the water column.

Considering that the weather conditions on this date were breezy, we suspect that wave action contributed to the oxygen super saturation.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historic data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The turbidity in the **Inlet** sample was elevated at each sampling event. Again, we attribute this to the wetland that is upstream of the sampling location.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this portion of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and storm event sampling along this inlet. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the elevated levels of turbidity.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article”, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 lists the current year data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **MAY** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms may also be present. Please consult the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for the current state standards for *E. coli* in surface waters. If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or after rain events.

The *E.coli* concentration was **very low** at each of the sites tested this season. We hope this trend continues!

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a “Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit” for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors are not following the proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did a **very good** job when collecting samples this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures when collecting and submitting samples to the laboratory. However, the laboratory did identify one aspect of sample collection that the volunteer monitors could improve upon. It is as follows:

- **Sample “Cooling”:** Please remember to bring a cooler with ice when you sample. Samples should be put directly into the cooler and kept on ice until they are dropped off at the laboratory. This will ensure that samples do not degrade before they are analyzed. If you plan to sample on the weekend, please sample on Sunday, preferably in the afternoon, and return samples to the lab first thing on Monday

morning to ensure that samples can be analyzed within 24 hours.
And, please remember that *E.coli* samples that are more than 24 hours old will not be accepted by the laboratory for analysis.

NOTES

- **Monitor's Note (8/27/03):** Water level is normal after almost 4 weeks of 3-4' above normal. Warner River backed up into lake with all the rain in the past month.

- **Biologist's Note (7/25/03):** Inlet sample was extremely iron colored; iron bacteria was present in large amounts surrounding the inlet. The turbidity and phosphorous of the inlet was elevated.
(8/27/03): The total phosphorous level at the inlet was elevated

USEFUL RESOURCES

Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems, ARD-32, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3505, or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm.

Aquarium Plants and Animals: Don't leave them stranded. Informational pamphlet sponsored by NH Fish and Game, Aquaculture Education and Research Center, and NHDES (603) 271-3505.

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, NHDES-WD 97-8, NHDES Booklet, (603) 271-3503.

A Boater's Guide to Cleaner Water, NHDES pamphlet, (603) 271-3503.

Camp Road Maintenance Manual: A Guide for Landowners. Kennebec Soil and Water Conservation District, 1992, (207) 287-3901.

Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B, WD-SP-5, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-5.htm.

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3505, or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, WD-SP-1, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm

OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2003

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, WD-WQE-7, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503, or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm

Iron Bacteria in Surface Water, WD-BB-18, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm

Is it Safe to Eat the Fish We Catch? Mercury and Other Pollutants in Fish, NH Department of Health and Human Services pamphlet, 1-800-852-3345, ext. 4664.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, WD-BB-9, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Management of Canada Geese in Suburban Areas: A Guide to the Basics, Draft Report, NJ Department of Environmental Protection Division of Watershed Management, March 2001, www.state.nj.us/dep/watershedmgt/DOCS/BMP_DOCS/Goosedraft.pdf.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, WD-SP-2, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, WD-WMB-4, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, WD-BB-15, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Swimmers Itch, WD-BB-2, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm.

Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants. North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.



Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, WD-BB-4, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm.

APPENDIX A

GRAPHS



Tom Pond, Warner

Figure 1. Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results



Tom Pond, Warner

Figure 2. Monthly and Historical Transparency Results



Tom Pond, Warner

Figure 3. Monthly and Historical Total Phosphorus Data.

